Windows Vista 64bits and unexported kernel symbols.

Matthieu Suiche, Senior Security Fanatics! <matt@msuiche.net> http://www.msuiche.net

January 1, 2007

Abstract: For the first Microsoft Windows Vista Beta, several articles have been published, talking about miscellaneous subjects like IT or more specifically Operating System Security (e.g. *Matthew Conover[1]*). There are numerous conflicts between ISV and Microsoft about unexported native symbols like the *IDT*, *SDT* and some *MSR*s on x64 Windows version.

However, while the Windows Vista Beta 2 beta testing, *Joanna Rutkowska[2]* showed these initiatives will not make Microsoft Windows more secure. Further, the October 25 2006, an Anti-Virus vendor called *Authentium[3]* announced publicly that Patchguard protection has been subverted.

Actually, Microsoft Windows Vista RTM (*Release to Manufacturing*) has been released but the problem for AV vendors still exists. Even if companies have told to Microsoft that building a standalone symbols importer is an easy task. AV Companies have announced to Microsoft that the decision to make these symbols as exportable won't make it easier for Rootkit's authors to access to privileged areas.

Introduction: Windows Vista x64 uses very different internal schemes compared to the x86 version. If someone reversed the x86 kernel and wanted to reverse the x64 kernel, thinking that he will find the same data at the same address, then he is wrong. Further, there are some innovations in x64 reversing like the x64 calling convention. The reader needs to know these specificities whether he doesn't want to get stopped because of a lack of understanding with pushed arguments.

This paper is covering a quick analysis of the main parts of the Microsoft Vista kernel loader to explain how it's possible to get a hand on the main native system structures, like software interruption address, SSDT address and syscall MSRs.

Prerequisites: Deprived of access to documentation and source code, we analysed Windows Vista x64 RTM version using an external disassembler, and the latest Debugging Tools for Windows (x64) to have a CPL 0 debugger compatible with Microsoft Windows Vista x64. Some knowledge of x64 assembly is needed like news operands, registers and calling convention. Of course, a fluent assembly understanding is necessary there.

I. System Interruptions

Our story start in the *KiSystemStartup()* which the prototypes seemed to be :

```
VOID KiSystemStartup(
```

PLOADER_PARAMETER_BLOCK pKeLoaderBlock);

KiSystemStartup:

sub	rsp, 38h	
mov	[rsp+38h+shadow], r15	
mov	r15, rsp	
mov	cs:KeLoaderBlock, rcx	
mov	rdx, [rcx+38h]	
lea	rax, KPCR	
test	rdx, rdx	
Cmovz	rdx, rax	
mov	[rcx+38h], rdx ; PKP0	CR
sub	rdx, 180h	

As can you see the argument *pKeLoaderBlock* is stored into the exportable variable KeLoaderBlock located in the ALMOSTRO section. For reminding the LOADER_PARAMETER_BLOCK structure is:

<pre>typedef struct _LOADER_PARAMETER_BLOC {</pre>						
LIST_ENTRY	LoadOrderListHead;	// +0x000				
LIST_ENTRY	MemoryDescriptorListHead	// +0x010				
LIST_ENTRY	BootDriverListHead;	// +0x020				
UCHAR	KernelStack;	// +0x030				
PULONG64	Prcb;	// +0x038				
UCHAR	Process;	// +0x040				
UCHAR	Thread;	// +0x048				
USHORT	RegistryLength;	// +0x050				
PVOID	RegistryBase;	// +0x052				
PCONFIGURATION_COMPONENT_DATA	ConfigurationRoot;	// +0x060				
PUCHAR	ArcBootDeviceName;	// +0x068				
PUCHAR	ArcHalDeviceName;	// +0x070				
PUCHAR	NtBootPathName;	// +0x078				
PUCHAR	NtHalPathName;	// +0x080				
PUCHAR	LoadOptions;	// +0x088				
PNLS_DATA_BLOCK	NlsData;	// +0x090				
PARC_DISK_INFORMATION	ArcDiskInformation;	// +0x098				
PVOID	OemFontFile;	// +0x0a0				
PSETUP_LOADER_BLOCK	SetupLoaderBlock;	// +0x0a8				
PLOADER_PARAMETER_EXTENSION	Extension;	// +0x0b0				
<pre>} LOADER_PARAMETER_BLOC, *PLOADER_PARAMETER_BLOC;</pre>						

The beginning of the function just fixes the PKPCR value to KeLoaderBlock.Prcb.

```
[rdx+18h], rdx ; PKPCR-0x180
mov
        [rdx+20h], r10 ; PKPCR
mov
        r8, cr0
mov
        [rdx+1C0h], r8 ; CR0
mov
        r8, cr2
mov
        [rdx+1C8h], r8 ; CR2
mov
        r8, cr3
mov
       [rdx+1D0h], r8 ; CR3
mov
        r8, cr4
mov
```

mov	[rdx+1D8h], r8 ; CR4
sgdt	qword ptr [rdx+216h]
mov	r8, [rdx+218h]
mov	[rdx], r8
sidt	qword ptr [rdx+226h]
mov	r9, [rdx+228h]
mov	[rdx+38h], r9
str	word ptr [rdx+230h]
sldt	word ptr [rdx+232h]
mov	dword ptr [rdx+180h], 1F80h
ldmxcsr	dword ptr [rdx+180h]

These following registers/tables values are stored into the structure pointer by rdx.

- CR (=Control Registers) TR (=Task Register)
- GDT (=Global Descriptor Table)
- IDT (=Interrupt Descriptor Table)
- LDT (=Local Descriptor Table)

```
mov
       eax, edx
shr
       rdx, 32
       ecx, 0C0000101h ; GS_BASE
mov
wrmsr
       ecx, 0C0000102h ; KERNEL_GS_BASE
mov
wrmsr
```

The *RDX* register is going to be stored in a MSR identified by GS_BASE and KERNEL_GS_BASE constants.

Some instructions later, the function *KiInitializeBootStructures()* is called. His prototype seemed to be like the following:

```
VOID KiInitializeBootStructures(
                      PLOADER_PARAMETER_BLOCK pKeLoaderBlock);
```

After reading the function we see that mapped IDT Base address is obtained in 2 lines of code:

	mov	rsi, gs:18h
[]	mov	pMmIdtEntry, [rsi+38h]

In fact, these 2 lines of code represents a 13 lines tricks of internal structure initialization:

mov mov lea test cmov mov mov sub mov	rdx, rdx z rdx, rax [rcx+38h], rdx ; PKPCR r10, rdx rdx, 180h
mov	[rdx+20h], r10 ; PKPCR
[]	
sidt	
mov	r9, [rdx+228h]

mov [rdx+38h], r9

Where rdx+0x18, is a pointer to gs:[0x18] and rdx+0x38 a pointer to the mapped Idt.

Note: We see that in theory gs:[0x18] should be equal to GS_BASE so gs:[0x38] should point to mapped *IDT*.

All of the following lines are used to copy *System Interrupt* to mapped memory. Here, the copy procedure is initialized.

```
lea r11, (KxUnexpectedInterrupt0+1)
xor r10d, r10d
lea r12, (KiInterruptInitTable+8)
lea r9, KxUnexpectedInterrupt0
lea r8, [pMmIdtEntry+4]
sub r11, pMmIdtEntry
```

The most interesting line here is the *R12* initialization. Whether we check this offset we will see:

KiInterruptInitTable dq 0

Iniciable	_				
	_		KiDivideErrorFault	;	DIVIDE_ERROR
	dq		Ki Dahuar Qar Bault		CINCLE CHED
		30002h	KiDebugTrapOrFault	;	SINGLE_STEP
	_		KiNmiInterrupt	;	NMI INTERRUPT
	_	303h	Rimmineeriupe	,	
	_		KiBreakpointTrap	;	BREAKPOINT
	-	304h			
	dq	offset	KiOverflowTrap	;	OVERFLOW
	dq				
			KiBoundFault	;	BOUND
	dq				
	_		KiInvalidOpcodeFault	;	INVALID_OPCODE
	dq		KiNpxNotAvailableFault		
		10008h	KINPXNOLAVAIIADIEFAUIL	'	NPX_NOT_AVAILABLE
	-		KiDoubleFaultAbort	;	DOUBLE FAULT
	dq		Ribbubierauicabore	,	DOODEE_FROLI
	-		KiNpxSegmentOverrunAbort	;	NPX_SEGMENT_OVERRUN
		0Ah			
	dq	offset	KiInvalidTssFault	;	INVALID_TSS
		0Bh			
			KiSegmentNotPresentFault	;	SEGMENT_NOT_PRESENT
	-	0Ch			
	_		KiStackFault	;	STACK
	_	0Dh	KiGeneralProtectionFault		CENEDAL DDOTECTION
		011set 0Eh	RIGeneralProtectionFault	'	GENERAL_PROIECTION
	_		KiPageFault	;	PAGE
	_	10h		•	
	_		KiFloatingErrorFault	;	FLOATING_ERROR
	dq	11h			
			KiAlignmentFault	;	ALIGNMENT
		20012h			
			KiMcheckAbort	;	MACHINE_CHECK
	-	13h			VNM EVGEDETON
	-		KiXmmException	;	XMM_EXCEPTION
	uq	1Fh			

dq offset KiApcInterrupt dq 32Ch	; APC
dq offset KiRaiseAssertion	; RAISE_ASSERTION
dq 32Dh	
dq offset KiDebugServiceTrap	; DEBUG_SERVICE
dq 2Fh	
dq offset KiDpcInterrupt	; DPC
dq 0Elh	
dq offset KilpiInterrupt	; IPI
dq 2 dup(0)	

Doesn't it seem so interesting? After a short looking on the copy routine we can rebuild a theoretical structure for these raw interruptions entries.

As you see the pointer to PKIDT_RAW_SOFTWARE_INTERRUPT_ENTRY64 allows us to get all protected-mode exceptions and interrupts detailed in the Intel Manual Volume 3[4].

For remaining the way to access to this "in-raw" structure is this one: The way to access to the KiServiceTable is the following:

```
KiSystemStartup()
=> call KiInitializeBootStructures ()
-> lea r12, (KiInterruptInitTable+8)
```

Comparing memory interrupt address with their adjusted address is more effective than a basic checking between kernel address base and kernel base limit.

Imagine if an attacker wanted to interchange an *IDT* entry? It could affect the correct system operation.

For 32bits architecture a proof of concept is available without documentation using PhysicalMemory trick that I've written one year ago.

This tool I called <u>"IDTGuard"[5]</u> has been released on 10 December 2006. A paper about <u>32bits Windows System Protection</u> should be published soon.

II. Syscall / Sysret

To call a native function Windows uses ntdll.dll to switch from CPL3 to CPL0. This switch is done by the *SYSCALL* opcode. Metasploit published a full listing for system call table index, available here [6].

After referring into the Intel instructions handbook [7], we note these following notes:

SYSCALL - Fast System Call SYSRET - Return From Fast System Call SYSCALL saves the RIP of the instruction following SYSCALL to RCX and loads a new RIP from the IA32_LSTAR (64bit mode). Upon return, SYSRET copies the value saved in RCX to the RIP. The CS of the SYSCALL target has a privilege level of 0. The CS of the SYSRET target has a privilege level of 3.

For remaining a ntdll's function switcher looks like:

Ntxxxxxxxxx proc near

Ntxxxxxxxx endp

First, we notice the kernel function identifier is stored into the 32bits register: eax. Secondly, the ntdll's function executes the *SYSCALL* opcode to switch into CPL0.

Some rootkits would rather hook the *SYSCALL* opcode than patching the *System Service Descriptor Table*.

On a 64bits system there are two important MSRs (=Model Specific Registers) which are initialized, 0xc0000082 and 0xc0000083.

Let's take a look at the structures and constants declaration.

```
0xC0000082
#define LSTAR
#define CSTAR
                0xC0000083
11
// Syscall64
11
typedef struct _KLSTAR {
   ULONGLONG TargetRIP4PM64Callers;
} KLSTAR;
11
// Syscall32
11
typedef struct _KCSTAR {
   ULONGLONG TargetRIP4CMCallers;
} KLSTAR;
```

These two *MSR*s are configured by the *KiInitializeBootStructures()* function. If we look some lines after the *IDT* copy memory routine we can see the following part of code:

rax, KiSystemCall32 lea ecx, 0C000083h mov rdx, rax ; CSTAR mov rdx, 20h shr wrmsr rax, KiSystemCall64 lea ecx, 0C000082h ; LSTAR mov rdx, rax mov rdx, 20h shr wrmsr

As you can see function names are very explicit and are very easy to locate with a signature which looks like:

48	8D	05	XX	XX	XX	XX	lea	rax,	0xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
В9	YY	00	00	C0			mov	ecx,	0C00000 <mark>YYh</mark>
48	8B	D0					mov	rdx,	rax
48	C1	ΕA	20				shr	rdx,	20h
0F	30						wrmsr		

Only 5 bytes differ on 21bytes. But if we build a double signature there are 8 differing bytes on 42bytes.

Cause of LSTAR and CSTAR constant and *WRMSR* opcode, this part of code is very easy to be located.

III. System Service Descriptor Table

The KeServiceDescriptorTable pointer isn't exported on Windows Vista 64bits even if it's still to be on the 32bits version.

The similar points with previous version of Windows are that this pointer still being present in the ALMOSTRO section and KiServiceTable array still be in the .text section.

We have to look for these opcodes in the *KiInitSystem* function in the INIT section:

```
lea
       rax, qword_1401C7120
mov
       cs:qword_1401C7128, rax
mov
       cs:qword_1401C7120, rax
lea
       rax, KiServiceTable
       cs: KeServiceDescriptorTable, rax
mov
       eax, dword ptr cs:KiServiceLimit
mov
       cs:KiSwapEvent, 1
mov
       cs:dword_1401F9990, eax
mov
       rax, KiArgumentTable
lea
lea
       rax, KiServiceTable
       cs:KeServiceDescriptorTable, rax
mov
```

There are several variables initialized into the KiInitSystem function, then find the pointer toward KiServiceTable could seem very delicate. Further, the KiInitSystem function isn't an exported function.

That's why using a 64bits LDE (=Length Disassembler Engine) or an open source disassembler [8] would be rather than a basic print code searching cause of these notes. With counting instructions and opcode identification we could make a theoretical way to the *"lea rax, KiServiceTable"*.

The way to access to the KiServiceTable is the following:

```
KiSystemStartup()
=> call KiInitializeKernel()
=> call KiInitSystem()
-> lea rax, KiServiceTable
-> mov cs:KeServiceDescriptorTable, rax
```

Like for the IDT, get an access "in-raw" to the table is complex but not impossible. The main point of this access is the organization to use correctly a standalone disassembler to rebuild a virtual path to these variables.

For instance, you have to count the number of instructions "x" between the calling and the beginning of the function. Then, on another kernel binary file, you read "x" instructions and compare the current one with a *call*, if wrong compare the instruction at the position "x+n" and "x-n", for n a little number. Additionally, look for pushed arguments into registers and stack. Inside the function we can consider more information about instructions' scheme.

Here, we look for this instruction's prototype "*lea reg64*, *[imm64]*" if we run a scan inside the function it will return numerous results. The ingenuity behind this idea is to use a basic isomorphs trick, comparing a personal signature with the compiled code.

Conclusion:

In this paper, we cover how to realize a kind of standalone "Patchguard" for 64bits architecture to check main targeted structures of rootkits.

The specificity of this paper is its 64bits oriented architecture and the improvement of authenticity trick compared to x86 existing tools like SVV (System Virginity Verifier) which are not allowed to restore interrupts or MSRs by their original values.

References

[1] Matthew Conover (2006), <u>Windows Vista Kernel Mode Security</u> http://www.symantec.com/avcenter/reference/Windows_Vista_Kernel_Mode_Security.pdf

[2] Joanna Rutkowska (July/August 2006), <u>Subverting Vista Kernel</u> http://invisiblethings.org/papers/joanna%20rutkowska%20-%20subverting%20vista%20kernel.ppt

[3] Authentium (October 2006), <u>Microsoft Patchguard</u> http://blogs.authentium.com/sharp/?p=12

[4] Intel, <u>Protected-Mode Exceptions and Interrupts (5-3)</u> IA-32 Intel Architecture Software Developer's Manual. System Programming Guide

[5] Matthieu Suiche (December, 2006) <u>IDTGuard v0.1 Public Build</u> http://www.msuiche.net/?p=9

[6] Metasploit, <u>Windows System Call Table</u> (NT/2000/XP/2003/Vista) http://www.metasploit.com/users/opcode/syscalls.html

[7] Intel, <u>SYSCALL / SYSRET</u> IA-32 Intel Architecture Software Developer's Manual. Volume 2B

[8] Matthew Conover (2004), <u>Open-source x64 Disassembler</u> http://www.cybertech.net/~sh0ksh0k/projects/x64dis/